

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,346	02/23/2004	Josh Eckels	BEAS-01436US2	2353
23910 FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 CALIFORNIA STREET			EXAMINER	
			DAO, THUY CHAN	
14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/784,346 ECKELS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Thuy Dao 2192 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/784,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on February 19, 2008 has been entered.

Claims 1-33 have been examined.

# Response to Amendments

- 3. Per Applicants' request, claims 1, 13, 23, and 33 have been amended.
- The 35 USC §101 rejection over claims 1-12 and 33 is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments.

# Claim Objections

5. As indicated in page 4 of the previous Office action mailed November 20, 2007, claims 7 and 11 are objected to because of minor informalities. Acronyms "XML" and "JSP" should be spelled out at the first appearance in claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered. After further consideration, the examiner notes that Spencer still teaches the newly added limitations as set forth in details below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 8-9, 13-17, 19, 23-27, 29, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Spencer (art of record, US Patent Publication No. 2005/0278585 A1).

#### Claim 1:

Spencer discloses a computer-enabled system embodied in a storage medium to provide a software debugging environment, comprising:

an executing software program containing at least one data structure (e.g., FIG. 4, Code Window 340, program code containing at least one data structure such as "Dim i As Integer" and "Dim Ivi As ListViewItem");

at least one abstract view capable of displaying and/or editing at least one abstract content of the at least one data structure (e.g., FIG. 4, abstract views as Locals Window 320, [0044], Autos Window 310, [0043]; FIG. 3, [0040-0045]);

wherein the abstract content of the at least one data structure constitutes attributes of interest (e.g., [0043], attributes of interest such as names, values, expressions; names and values of variables/objects in scope for the current position of a instruction pointer, i.e., the instruction cursor in the GUI)

during the execution of the executing software program (e.g., [0027]); and

rather than physical structures used to represent the abstract content (e.g., FIG. 4, Locals Window 320 does not display the physical structures of data structure "Integer" of the instance "i"; Autos Window 310 does not display the physical structures of data structure "ListViewItem" of the instance "Ivi"); and

at least one filter capable of defining a displaying and/or editing

property of the at least one abstract view (e.g., FIG. 4, Pop-ups 312 and 314, [0046-0049]).

such property can include at least one of: which of the at least one abstract content is displayed, a format in which it is displayed, and how it is edited. (e.g., FIG. 4, abstract views such as Locals Window 320, Autos Window 310:

expanding a variable/object to see sub-members, [0047];

members of a variable/object may be hidden/un-visible, [0048];

if item expandable → subsequent window(s) popped up and if item unexpandable → editable in textual format, [0048];

displaying in either graphical visuals or textual format, [0049]).

# Claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Spencer also discloses the system is at least partially implemented using Java language (e.g., [0021], [0037]).

#### Claim 3:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Spencer also discloses at least one editor associated with the at least one abstract view capable of at least one of: allowing the at least one abstract content to be modified through the at least one abstract view; and validating an input value to the at least one abstract content against an allowed value for the at least one abstract content (e.g., [0045]).

#### Claim 4.

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Spencer also discloses the at least one abstract view is capable of presenting the at least one abstract content of the at least one data structure without showing a physical implementation of the at least one data structure (e.g., [0043-0045]).

Application/Control Number: 10/784,346

Art Unit: 2192

### Claim 5:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Spencer also discloses each of the at least one abstract view can be individually selected for display (e.g., [0041-0042]).

#### Claim 6:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Spencer also discloses two or more of the at least one abstract view are capable of displaying and/or editing the same one of the at least one abstract content without being deadlocked (e.g., [0040]).

#### Claim 8:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Spencer also discloses a component capable of interactively performing at least one of: selecting a subset of the at least one of abstract view for display; and defining the displaying and/or editing property of the at least one filter (e.g., [0043-0045]).

#### Claim 9:

The rejection of claim 8 is incorporated. Spencer also discloses the component can be realized via an interface to an Integrated Development Environment IDE (e.g., 0005-0008).

# Claims 13-17 and 19:

Claims 13-17 and 19 are method versions, which recite the same limitations as those of claims 1-6 and 8-9, wherein all claimed limitations have been addressed and/or set forth above. Therefore, as the reference teaches all of the limitations of the above claims. it also teaches all of the limitations of claims 13-17 and 19.

#### Claims 23-27 and 29:

Claims 13-27 and 29 are machine readable medium versions, which recite the same limitations as those of claims 1-6 and 8-9, wherein all claimed limitations have Application/Control Number: 10/784,346

Art Unit: 2192

been addressed and/or set forth above. Therefore, as the reference teaches all of the limitations of the above claims, it also teaches all of the limitations of claims 23-27 and 29.

# Claim 33:

Spencer discloses a computer-enabled system embodied in a storage medium to provide a software debugging environment, comprising:

means for displaying and/or editing at least one abstract content of at least one data structure in an executing software program (e.g., FIG. 4, Code Window 340, program code and at least one data structure, [0047] and [0027])

via at least one abstract view (e.g., FIG. 4, Locals Window 320, Autos Window 310, [0043]);

wherein the abstract content of the at least one data structure constitutes attributes of interest (e.g., [0043], attributes of interest such as names, values, expressions of variables/objects)

during the execution of the executing software program (e.g., [0027] and [0047])

rather than physical structures used to represent the abstract content (e.g., FIG. 4, Locals Window 320 does not display the physical structures of data structure "Integer" of the instance "i"; Autos Window 310 does not display the physical structures of data structure "ListViewIttem" of the instance "Ivi"); and

means for defining a displaying and/or editing property of the at least one abstract view via at least one filter (e.g., FIG. 4, Pop-ups 312 and 314, [0046-0049]).

such property can include at least one of: which of the at least one abstract content is displayed, a format in which it is displayed, and how it is edited (e.g., FIG. 4, abstract views such as Locals Window 320, Autos Window 310:

expanding a variable/object to see sub-members, [0047]; members of a variable/object may be hidden/un-visible. [0048]:

if item expandable → subsequent window(s) popped up and if item unexpandable → editable in textual format, [0048];

displaying in either graphical visuals or textual format, [0049]).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 7, 10-12, 18, 20-22, 28, and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spencer in view of Charisius (art of record, US Patent No. 7.051.316 B2).

#### Claim 7:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Spencer does not explicitly discloses the at least one filter can be defined via configuration information stored in a file, which can be an XML file.

However, in an analogous art, Charisius further discloses the at least one filter can be defined via configuration information stored in a file, which can be an XML file (e.g., FIG. 51-52, col.42: 10-23; col.44: 15-41).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Charisius' teaching into Spencer's teaching. One would have been motivated to do so to provide an improved software development tool that crates a graphical representation of source code regardless of the programming language in which the code is written as suggested by Charisius (e.g., col.11: 4-11 and 29-38: col.38: 28-61).

# Claim 10:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Charisius further discloses at least one component capable of supporting the debugging of a JSP page and a machine generated servlet that implements the JSP page (e.g., FIG. 49, col.38; 44-66).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Charisius' teaching into Spencer's teaching. One would have been motivated to do so to as set forth above.

#### Claim 11:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated. Charisius further discloses the at least one component can perform at least one of: extracting and displaying a code and/or a content of interest, and mapping them to a format used in a source code in a JSP page, for use with executing a JSP servlet; following an execution path through at least one level of redirection using at least one tag; extracting and manipulating a streaming data from a content of a buffer used to transmit and receive the streaming data; and setting at least one break point in a JSP page and stepping through the execution of the page based on the displaying property (e.g., col.39: 1-54).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Charisius' teaching into Spencer's teaching. One would have been motivated to do so to as set forth above.

#### Claim 12:

The rejection of claim 11 is incorporated. Charisius further discloses the streaming data can be extracted by inserting a wrapper or "writer" class around the JSP servlet (e.g., col.40: 31-66).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Charisius' teaching into Spencer's teaching. One would have been motivated to do so to as set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,346

Art Unit: 2192

#### Claims 18 and 20-22:

Claims 18 and 20-22 are method versions, which recite the same limitations as those of claims 7 and 10-12, wherein all claimed limitations have been addressed and/or set forth above. Therefore, as the reference teaches all of the limitations of the above claims, it also teaches all of the limitations of claims 18 and 20-22.

# Claims 28 and 30-32:

Claims 28 and 30-32 are method versions, which recite the same limitations as those of claims 7 and 10-12, wherein all claimed limitations have been addressed and/or set forth above. Therefore, as the reference teaches all of the limitations of the above claims, it also teaches all of the limitations of claims 28 and 30-32.

#### Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Thuy Dao (Twee), whose telephone/fax numbers are (571) 272 8570 and (571) 273 8570, respectively. The examiner can normally be reached on every Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 6:00AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Tuan Q. Dam, can be reached at (571) 272 3695.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272 2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

Art Unit: 2192

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Thuy Dao/ Examiner, Art Unit 2192

/Tuan Q. Dam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192